

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Observation of the large magnetocaloric effect in an orbital–spin-coupled system MnV_2O_4

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 436010 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/21/43/436010) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 05:37

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 436010 (5pp)

Observation of the large magnetocaloric effect in an orbital–spin-coupled system MnV₂O₄

X Luo¹, Y P Sun^{1,2,3}, L Hu¹, B S Wang¹, W J Lu¹, X B Zhu¹, Z R Yang¹ and W H Song¹

¹ Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, People's Republic of China
 ² High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, People's Republic of China

E-mail: ypsun@issp.ac.cn

Received 18 August 2009, in final form 18 September 2009 Published 8 October 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/21/436010

Abstract

The magnetocaloric effect (MCE) in an orbital–spin-coupled spinel vanadate MnV_2O_4 is investigated by magnetization measurement. MnV_2O_4 has ferrimagnetic ordering occurring at $T_C = 57$ K. The maximum magnetic entropy change reaches 14.8 and 24.0 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ for field changes of 0–2 and 0–4 T, respectively. The maximum adiabatic temperature is about 2.9 K for a magnetic field change of 2 T. Except for the spin entropy change, the observed giant MCE is suggested to be related to the orbital entropy change due to the change of the orbital state of V³⁺ induced by an applied magnetic field around T_C .

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Magnetic materials with a large magnetocaloric effect (MCE) at temperatures below 70 K have attracted much research interest due to their potential environmental advantages over gas liquefiers [1, 2]. The key problem in application of magnetic refrigeration is to seek those materials that are cheaper but display a larger MCE. Mostly, rare-earth materials and their alloys show excellent magnetocaloric properties because of their large magnetic moment [1–6]. However, rare-earth metals are in general expensive, and therefore there is a strong incentive to search for new inexpensive materials that exhibit a large MCE below 70 K.

Recently, the spinel vanadium oxide, with formula AV₂O₄, has been extensively studied due to its interesting physical properties [7–19]. In this series of compounds, there is antiferromagnetic (AFM) (ZnV₂O₄, MgV₂O₄, CdV₂O₄ etc) or ferrimagnetic (MnV₂O₄) ordering, which are dominated by direct $t_{2g}-t_{2g}$ interaction between neighboring V³⁺ spins (*S* = 1) or by the superexchange (SE) interaction through the oxygen 2p orbital between V³⁺ and Mn²⁺ (*S* = 5/2) spins [15]. A

structure phase transition into a tetragonal phase is presumably induced by the ordering of V orbitals below the magnetic ordering temperature. Katsufuji and co-workers [13, 15] reported a magnetic field 'switching' of the crystal structure in MnV₂O₄. It was demonstrated that the applied magnetic field enhances the evolution of a ferromagnetic (FM) moment on the V site and induces an orbital ordering (OO) and the structural phase transition into a tetragonal phase. In other words, the applied magnetic field can easily change the lattice structure and the spin configuration in MnV₂O₄. In general, the MCE is expected to be high across a magnetic phase transition or structural phase transition, and this gives us the motivation to study the MCE of MnV_2O_4 around T_C . In this paper, the MCE of MnV₂O₄ is investigated by dc magnetic measurements, indicating that MnV2O4 is probably a promising candidate as a working material below 70 K in magnetic refrigeration technology.

2. Experimental details

Polycrystalline samples of MnV_2O_4 were prepared by the standard solid-state synthesis method. A stoichiometric

³ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Figure 1. X-ray powder diffraction pattern (plus marks) and Rietveld refinement pattern (solid line) of MnV_2O_4 at room temperature. The vertical marks indicate the position of Bragg peaks, and the solid line at the bottom corresponds to the difference between observed and calculated intensities.

mixture of MnO and V₂O₃ was carefully crushed and pressed in the form of bars. These bars were placed in a Pt crucible which was sealed in an evacuated quartz tube (~10⁻⁵ Torr). The tube was heated at 950 °C for 40 h. The phase purity of the sample was examined by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu K α radiation at room temperature. The magnetization measurement was performed with a Quantum Design (QD) superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) system (1.9 K $\leq T \leq 400$ K, 0 T $\leq H \leq 5$ T).

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 displays the experimental XRD and the Rietveld analysis patterns of MnV₂O₄ with 2θ scanning from 20° to 80° at room temperature. All diffraction peaks can be indexed into a face-centered cubic cell ($Fd\bar{3}m$) with the lattice parameter a = 8.5227 Å obtained from the Rietveld analysis. The lattice parameter is consistent with reported data [12, 15, 16].

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of magnetization (M-T) in an applied magnetic field H = 0.002 T under zero-field cooling (ZFC), field-cooling cooling (FCC) and field-cooling warming (FCW) modes. It indicates that ferrimagnetic ordering occurs at $T_{\rm C} = 57$ K, defined as the temperature of the maximum slope in the M-T curves. Below $T_{\rm C}$ there is a difference in the ZFC and FC data, which is due to a spin-glass-like ground state developing at low temperatures [21]. Both FCC and FCW curves show no hysteresis, which demonstrates the second-order character of the magnetic transition. The second transition taking place at lower temperature ($T_{\rm S} = 53$ K) corresponds to a falling of M with temperature decreasing in the FCW mode, which might be related to the structural phase transition induced by the antiferro-type ordering of the V t_{2g} orbitals. A clear hysteresis is a typical characteristic of the first-order transition. The magnetic measurement is in agreement with the previously reported results [15, 17].

In order to obtain the change in magnetic entropy, isothermal magnetization curves are recorded in the temperature



Figure 2. Temperature dependence of magnetization recorded in the ZFC, FCC and FCW modes under an applied magnetic field H = 0.002 T. $T_{\rm C}$ and $T_{\rm S}$ correspond to the ferrimagnetic ordering temperature and the low temperature structural phase transition, respectively.

range of 20–90 K in a magnetic field up to 4.5 T. The measurement results are shown in figure 3(a), where the blue lines show the magnetization curves around $T_{\rm C}$. The inset of figure 3(a) shows the field dependence of the reversible magnetization around $T_{\rm C}$; the bubble-like hysteresis is related to the field-induced structural phase transition [17]. The magnetic entropy change is given by

$$\Delta S_{\rm m}(T,H) = S_{\rm m}(T,H) - S_{\rm m}(T,0) = \int_0^H \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_H \mathrm{d}H,\tag{1}$$

and can be evaluated by the expression

$$|\Delta S_{\rm m}| = \sum_{i} \frac{M_i - M_{i+1}}{T_{i+1} - T_i} \Delta H_i,$$
(2)

where M_i is the magnetization at temperature T_i .

Magnetic entropy change versus temperature curves derived from equation (2) are shown in figure 3(b). In the vicinity of $T_{\rm C}$, the maximum entropy change $|\Delta S_{\rm m}^{\rm max}|$ is 14.8 and 24.0 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ for fields of 2 and 4 T, respectively. According to mean field theory, the relation between magnetic entropy and magnetic field near the magnetic phase transition is described as [20]

$$\Delta S_{\rm m} \cong -1.07 q R \left(\frac{g\mu_{\rm B} J H}{kT_{\rm C}}\right)^{2/3} \tag{3}$$

where *q* is the number of magnetic ions, *R* is the gas constant and *g* is the Landé factor. The inset of figure 3(b) shows the $H^{2/3}$ dependence of ΔS_m for MnV₂O₄. It is found that ΔS_m has a good linear dependence on $H^{2/3}$ at low fields, implying a second-order character for the magnetic transition. However, the field $H^{2/3}$ dependence of magnetic entropy deviates upward from linearity above 2 T, which is related to the magnetic-field-induced structural phase transition [13, 15]. The increased magnetic entropy might originate from the orbital change of V³⁺ ions, which is caused by the presence of the antiferro-type orderings of the V t_{2g} orbitals above 2 T. The



Figure 3. (a) Magnetization as a function of applied field for MnV_2O_4 between 20 and 90 K. The blue circle dots and lines correspond to temperatures around T_C . The inset shows the field dependence of reversible magnetization around T_C . (b) Entropy changes in MnV_2O_4 extracted from magnetization measurements with magnetic field changes from 0 to 1, 2, 3 and 4 T. The inset shows the relation between maximum ΔS and $H^{2/3}$ near the magnetic transition temperature. The straight line gives a linear fit to data.

reason why the values of magnetic entropy tend to saturation at high fields is not yet clear, and further investigation is needed in the future. However, the large magnetic entropy change $(-\Delta S_m)$ indicates that MnV₂O₄ belongs to a class of giant MCE materials. The giant MCE related to the field-induced magnetic transition obtained in MnV₂O₄ is compared with that observed in Ho₅Pb₂ [22], DySb [28] and ErRu₂Si₂ [26] compounds in which a field-induced AFM to FM transition occurs. Table 1 shows the magnetocaloric parameters of some respective giant MCE materials working at low temperatures. It demonstrates that the observed value of $-\Delta S_M$ for MnV₂O₄ is quite large in comparison with that of some giant MCE materials, especially at low fields (H = 2 T).

It is well known that another important parameter for a MCE material is the adiabatic temperature change ΔT_{ad} . Based on thermodynamics, the adiabatic temperature change at an arbitrary temperature T_0 can be expressed as

$$\Delta T \cong -\Delta S_{\rm m}(T_0, H) \frac{T_0}{C_p(T_0, H)} \tag{4}$$



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the adiabatic temperature change ΔT_{ad} in MnV₂O₄ induced by a magnetic field change of 0–2 T.

where C_p is the specific heat. The data for heat capacity in a field of H = 2 T were obtained from the [17]. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the adiabatic temperature change ΔT_{ad} for the applied magnetic field H = 2 T. The peak value of ΔT_{ad} is about 2.9 K for a magnetic field variation of 0-2 T. The relative cooling power (RCP), evaluated by RCP = $-\Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm max} \times \delta T$, is about 46.7 and 86.1 J kg⁻¹ for 2 T and 4 T, respectively. The transition temperature $(T_{\rm M})$, together with the maximum values of magnetocaloric parameters $(-\Delta S_M)$ and ΔT_{ad}) and relative cooling power (RCP) under 2 and 4 T for MnV₂O₄ and various giant MCE materials are listed in table 1 for comparison. This table shows that both ΔT_{ad} and RCP values are comparable with those of some giant MCE materials and the maximum value of $-\Delta S_{\rm M}$ is larger than that of the potential promising magnetic refrigerant materials at 2 and 4 T at low temperatures. Therefore, the large magnetic entropy and adiabatic temperature change imply that the spinel vanadium MnV₂O₄ is probably a promising candidate working material below 70 K in magnetic refrigeration technology. In addition, there are also some other advantages of MnV₂O₄ as a magnetic refrigerant material: (1) the reversible MCE in low fields (<2 T); (2) the relatively low cost of components and fabrication using MnO powder and V₂O₃.

As is well known, a large MCE is usually related to two factors: the large saturation magnetization (M_S) and the rapid change of magnetization around the magnetic phase transition. From the isothermal magnetization curve at 20 K, the $M_{\rm S}$ value is about 65 emu g⁻¹ (2.6 $\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$). The change of magnetization is not very sharp around $T_{\rm C}$ (the temperature interval between the PM and FM state is about 2 K obtained from figure 2). The above two values are not comparable with those of conventional MCE materials [22-28]. For MnV₂O₄, these two factors do not seem to play the key role in the MCE. There might exist a special character in our studied system. We turn to the magnetic structure of the orbitalspin-coupled system MnV₂O₄. In general, the evolution of MnV₂O₄'s magnetism might be described as follows: the collinear ferrimagnetic ordering $(T_{\rm C})$, where the Mn spins and the V spins are aligned in opposite direction and the FM coupling is within the V^{3+} sublattice, occurs at about

Table 1. The transition temperature (T_M) , the maximum values of magnetocaloric parameters $(-\Delta S_M \text{ and } \Delta T_{ad} \text{ as well as RCP})$ under 2 and 4 T for MnV₂O₄ and various giant MCE materials.

| | | $-\Delta S_{\rm M} ({\rm J \ kg^{-1} \ K^{-1}})/({\rm mJ \ cm^{-1}})$ | | 3 K ⁻¹) RCP (J kg ⁻¹) | | | $\Delta T_{\rm ad}$ (K) | |
|--|-----------------------|---|--------------|--|-------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Material | $T_{\rm M}~({\rm K})$ | 2 T | 4 T | 2 ' | Т | 4 T | 2 T | Reference |
| MnV ₂ O ₄ | 57 | 14.8 (70.2) | 24.0 (113.8) | 4 | 6.7 | 86.1 | 2.9 | Present work |
| Ho ₅ Pd ₂ | 25 | ~ 7 | ~ 15 | ~ 20 |)4 | \sim 578 | _ | [21] |
| $CdCr_2S_4$ | 87 | 3.92 (16.7) | 7.04 (30.0) | ~ 13 | 30 | $\sim \! 360$ | 2.6 | [22] |
| MnSi | ~ 40 | 2.2 (13.0) | 3.22 (19.0) | 2 | 21.39 | 47.42 | 2.6 | [23] |
| Dy ₅₀ Gd ₇ Al ₂₃ Co ₂₀ | 26 | 4.33 | | 17 | '3 | _ | | [24] |
| ErRu ₂ Si ₂ | 5.5 | ~11 (97.4) | ~15 (132.8) | ~ 5 | 55 | _ | 5.9 | [25] |
| Eu8Ga16Ge30 | 13 | ~ 8.5 | _ | 8 | 37 | _ | _ | [26] |
| DySb | 11 | — | ~12 (97.3) | ~3 | 34 | — | — | [27] |



Figure 5. Schematic representations of the evolution of MnV_2O_4s magnetism and the magnetization dependence of temperature under H = 0.002 T in the ZFC mode. The Mn moments are aligned upwards (not shown in the figure). N–C FiM, C FiM and PM denote the non-collinear ferrimagnetic, collinear ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases, respectively.

57 K. With decreasing temperature, through the SE interaction between the V^{3+} ions, where the FM spin order favors an AFM orbital coupling within the t_{2g} orbitals of V³⁺ ions, and with the help of the Jahn-Teller effect induced by the VO₆ octahedron, an OO with tetragonal distortion occurs at T_S (one t_{2g} electron of V³⁺ occupies the d_{xy} orbital and another t_{2g} electron locates the d_{yz} and d_{zx} orbitals alternately along the c axis). The tetragonal structural distortion stabilizes a canting within the V spins, leading to triangular ferrimagnetic order (non-collinear ferrimagnetic order). Simple schematic representations are shown in figure 5. The maximum of the magnetic entropy obtained from the experiment is around $T_{\rm C}$, which is higher than $T_{\rm S}$. The magnetic hysteresis occurs in the field dependence of magnetization above $T_{\rm S}$, as shown in the inset of figure 3(a), which is related to the OO of V ions. In other words, though the temperature of the maximum of the magnetic entropy is higher than $T_{\rm S}$, the OO of V³⁺ can also been induced by applied magnetic fields due to the strong coupling between the spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom in MnV_2O_4 [15]. Because one electron of the V ion occupies the superposition of the yz and zx orbitals, the angular momentum is not totally quenched for the V orbitals, i.e. total angular momentum J' = L' + S, the change of orbital order/disorder can be reflected in the isothermal magnetization. The calculated magnetic entropy is not only the spin entropy coming from the ferrimagnetic to PM transition but also the orbital entropy induced by the OO of V^{3+} . Thus, the large MCE of MnV_2O_4 is different from the conventional giant MCE materials where the giant MCE effect is mainly from the contribution of spin order-disorder. The entropy change of MnV_2O_4 is derived from the spin entropy and orbital entropy around $T_{\rm C}$. The contribution of orbital entropy might be an important part of the magnetic entropy of MnV₂O₄. The similar large MCE is also observed near the charge/orbital ordering transition in manganites [2, 29, 30]. The strong coupling between orbital and spin degrees of freedom in the t_{2g} states at the V site is mainly responsible for the OO induced by the magnetic field about $T_{\rm S}$ in the orbital-spin-coupled system studied here. The large MCE suggests that the spinel vanadate MnV₂O₄ is probably a promising candidate as a working material below 70 K in magnetic refrigeration technology. However, more detailed study is needed in vanadates as the origin of large magnetic entropy change deserves to be investigated further.

4. Conclusion

In summary, MnV₂O₄ shows a large magnetic entropy change near $T_{\rm C} = 57$ K. A magnetic field induces a structural phase transition, leading to large magnetic entropy changes ~14.8 and 24.0 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ for field changes of 0–2 and 0–4 T. The maximum $\Delta T_{\rm ad}$ is about 2.9 K for a magnetic field change of 2 T. Except for the spin entropy change, the observed giant MCE is suggested to be related to the orbital entropy change due to the change of the orbital state of V³⁺ ions with an applied field around $T_{\rm C}$. The study of MnV₂O₄ may give some indications for exploring spinel vanadates for magnetic refrigeration technology.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Key Basic Research under contract no. 2007CB925002, the National Science Foundation of China under contract nos 10974205, 10774146, 10774147, 50672099, 10804111 and the Director's Fund of Hefei Institutes of Physical Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

- [1] Tishin A M and Spichkin Y I 2003 *The Magnetocaloric Effect and its Applications* (London: Institute of Physics)
- [2] Gschneidner K A Jr, Pecharsky V K and Tsokol A O 2005 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 68 1479 and references herein
- [3] Pecharsky V K and Gschneidner K A Jr 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 4494
- [4] Wada H and Tanabe Y 2001 Appl. Phys. Lett. 79 3302
- [5] de Oliveira I G, von Ranke P J, El Massalami M and Chaves C M 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 174420
- [6] Singh N K, Agarwal S, Suresh K G, Nirmala R, Nigam A K and Malik S K 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 014452
- [7] Mamiya H, Onoda M, Furubayashi T, Tang J and Nakatani I 1997 J. Appl. Phys. 81 5289
- [8] Ueda Y, Fujiwara N and Yasuoka H 1997 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 66 778
- [9] Nishiguchi N and Onoda M 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 L551
- Tsunetsugu H and Motome Y 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B 68 060405(R)
 Motome Y and Tsunetsugu H 2005 *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 160 203
 - Motome Y and Tsunetsugu H 2005 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 74 208
- [11] Tchernyshyov O 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 157206
- [12] Plumier R and Sougi M 1987 Solid State Commun. 64 53 Plumier R and Sougi M 1989 Physica B 155 315
- [13] Adachi K, Suzuki T, Kato K, Osaka K, Takata M and Katsufuji T 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 197202
- [14] Maitra T and Valentí R 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 126401
- [15] Suzuki T, Katsumura M, Taniguchi K, Arima T and Katsufuji T 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 127203

- [16] Zhou H D, Lu J and Wiebe C R 2007 Phys. Rev. B 76 174403
- [17] Hardy V, Bréard Y and Martin C 2008 Phys. Rev. B 78 024406
- [18] Garlea V O, Jin R, Mandrus D, Roessli B, Huang Q, Miller M, Schultz A J and Nagler S E 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 066404
- [19] Sarkar S, Maitra T, Valentí R and Saha-Dasgupta T 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 216405
- [20] Oesterreicher H and Parker F T 1984 J. Appl. Phys. 55 4334
- [21] Baek S H, Choi K Y, Reyes A P, Kuhns P L, Curro N J, Ramanchandran V, Dalal N S, Zhou H D and Wiebe C R 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 135218
- [22] Samanta T, Das I and Banerjee S 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 082511
- [23] Yan L Q, Shen J, Li Y X, Wang F W, Jiang Z W, Hu F X, Sun J R and Shen B G 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 262502
- [24] Arora P, Chattopadhyay M K and Roy S B 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 062508
- [25] Luo Q, Zhao D Q, Pan M X and Wang W H 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 211903
- [26] Samanta T, Das I and Banerjee S 2007 Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 152506
- [27] Phan M H, Woods G T, Chaturvedi A, Stefanoski S, Nolas G S and Srikanth H 2008 Appl. Phys. Lett. 93 252505
- [28] Hu W J, Du J, Li B, Zhang Q and Zhang Z D 2008 Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 192505
- [29] Biswas A, Samanta T, Banerjee S and Das I 2008 Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 212502
- [30] Karmakar S, Bose E, Taran S, Chaudhuri B K, Sun C P and Yang H D 2008 J. Appl. Phys. 103 023901